

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,024	03/20/2001	Jonathan Henry Ellis	1430-263	2645
7590 05/07/2004 Nixon & Vanderhye 1100 North Glebe Road 8th Floor			EXAMINER	
			HELMS, LARRY RONALD	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/763,024	ELLIS, JONATHAN HENRY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Larry R. Helms	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or elements.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e			

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

To have a general inventive concept under PCT rule 13.1, the inventions need to be linked by a special technical feature. The special technical feature recited in claim 1 is a polypeptide containing at least amino acids encoded by nucleic acid residues 151-459 as shown in Figure 5. In view of this Burgess et al (EMBL DATABASE accession number 043726, 6/98) reads on the claim. Burgess et al teach a polypeptide that is identical to the amino acids encoded by nucleic acid residues 151-459 of Figure 5. Therefore the technical feature recited in claim 1 is not special. Accordingly the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a polypeptide.

Group II, claim(s) 7-8 in part, drawn to a method of preventing a polypeptide binding to human CD28 by a compound wherein the compound is an antibody and antibody derivatives.

Art Unit: 1642

Group III, claim(s) 7-8 in part, drawn to a method of preventing a polypeptide binding to human CD28 by a compound wherein the compound is a peptide.

Group IV, claim(s) 7-8 in part, drawn to a method of preventing a polypeptide binding to human CD28 by a compound wherein the compound is a phosphorylated peptide.

Group V, claim(s) 7-8 in part, drawn to a method of preventing a polypeptide binding to human CD28 by a compound wherein the compound is an aptomer.

Group VI, claim(s) 9, drawn to a method of screening for compounds that inhibit the binding of CD28 and a peptide wherein the compound binds to the polypeptide.

Group VII, claim(s) 10, drawn to a method of screening for compounds that inhibit the binding of CD28 and a peptide wherein the compound binds to CD28 or near phosphorylated Y173.

Group VIII, claim(s) 11 in part, drawn to a method of treating a human patient with autoimmune disorder with a compound that inhibits the binding of a polypeptide to CD28.

Art Unit: 1642

Group IX, claim(s) 11 in part, drawn to a method of treating a human patient with cancer with a compound that inhibits the binding of a polypeptide to CD28.

Group X, claim(s) 13, drawn to DNA.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-X do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As set forth above, in view of the teaching of Burgess et al the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because the technical feature of claim 1 is not special.

Inventions of Groups I and X represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. The polypeptide of Group I and the DNA of Group X are all structurally and chemically different from each other. The polynucleotide is made by nucleic acid synthesis while the polypeptide is made by mRNA expression. Furthermore, the polynucleotide can be used for hybridization screening and the polypeptide can be used to produce antibodies, for example. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. Patent shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus the inventions I and X are patentably distinct.

Art Unit: 1642

The methods of Inventions II-IX differ in the method objectives, method steps and parameters and in the reagents used. Inventions II-V recite a method of preventing the a polypeptide binding to CD28 with a compound and each of Groups II-V are drawn to separate and distinct compounds. Invention VI recites a method of screening for compounds that inhibit the binding of CD28 and a peptide wherein the compound binds to the polypeptide; Invention VII recites a method of screening for compounds that inhibit the binding of CD28 and a peptide wherein the compound binds to CD28 or near phosphorylated Y173; Invention VIII recites a method of treating a human patient with autoimmune disorder with a compound that inhibits the binding of a polypeptide to CD28; Invention IX recites a method of treating a human patient with cancer with a compound that inhibits the binding of a polypeptide to CD28. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. PATENT shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus Inventions II-IX are separate and distinct in having different method objectives, method steps and parameters and in the reagents used and are patentably distinct.

Inventions I and (II-IX) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group I can be used in a materially different method such as an immunogen for production of antibodies in addition to the materially different methods of Groups II-IX.

Art Unit: 1642

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain

Art Unit: 1642

dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different searches in the patent literature, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry R. Helms, Ph.D, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-0841.
- 6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center telephone number is (703) 308-4242.

Respectfully,

Larry R. Helms Ph.D.

571-272-0832

Page 8